

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/612,288	SAKAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sherali Ishrat	2624	

All Participants:

(1) Sherali Ishrat.

(2) J Randall Becker.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 June 2006

Time: 1:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that independent claims 1, 9, 14-17 are allowable over prior art of record based on the amendments and as argued by the Applicant in previous response. However claim 14 requires minor correction in lines 20-21 claim is repeating "character image within the line and line 24" mage" should be image. Applicant agreed to correct claim 14 by an examiner's amendment..